



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,158		05/10/2001	Atsushi Yamaguchi	109500	5938
25944	7590	03/30/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & E		GE, PLC	RENNER, CRAIG A		
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXAND		22320 ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2652	
				DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/853,158	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Craig A. Renner	2652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>							
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>(a)               □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);      </li> <li>(b) □ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);     </li> </ul>							
	they are not deemed to place the application in	<b>,</b> ·	rially roducing or simplifying the				
	issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>The newly added language to independent claim 1, for instance, raises new issues</u> .  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	<u> </u>						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
	Other:	((5)(110-1445) Paper No(5)	<del></del> ·				
اللا. ت	Outor						
			Craig A. Renner Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2652				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)